

Standard of Care: Cervical Radiculopathy

ICD 9 Codes: 723.4 (cervical radiculitis); 723.1 (neck pain)

# **Case Type / Diagnosis:**

Cervical radiculopathy (CR) is defined as a disease of the cervical spine nerves caused by cervical disc herniation or a space occupying lesion, typically an osteophyte encroachment with cervical spondylosis<sup>1</sup>. The above will impinge the cervical nerve root, cause inflammation and therefore cause radicular symptoms. The patient may report symptoms of sharp pain, tingling, or burning sensation<sup>2</sup>. CR usually occurs spontaneously and is non traumatic in origin<sup>1</sup>. The incidence of CR is significantly higher in patients who have spondylitic changes, rather than a cervical herniated disc<sup>1</sup>. The incidence of CR peaks in the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> decade of life<sup>7</sup>. The prevalence is 3.3 cases per 1000 people<sup>7</sup>.

Radicular symptoms will commonly follow dermatomal and myotomal patterns depending on the level affected. The most common involvements are the C6 and C7 nerve roots secondary to lesions at C5-C6 and C6-C7 cervical segments<sup>1,10</sup>.

C3 nerve root from C2-C3: This type of radiculopathy is more unusual in clinical presentation. Patient may report pain into the back of the ear. Motor deficits cannot be detected<sup>2</sup>.

C4 nerve root from C3-C4: Patient may report more of an unexplained neck and shoulder pain. There may be numbness from neck to superior aspect of the shoulder. Motor deficits may present in paradoxical respiration due to involvement of the diaphragm<sup>2</sup>.

C5 nerve root from C4-C5: Patient may report numbness on the superior aspect of the shoulder which may travel laterally to mid arm. There may be profound weakness of deltoid and impaired biceps reflex<sup>2</sup>.

C6 nerve root from C5-C6: Patient may report pain into the lateral aspect of the biceps and forearm and the dorsal aspect of the thumb and index finger. There may be motor deficits in wrist extension, elbow flexion and supination of the forearm. There may be impaired brachioradialis and biceps reflex. Sensory symptoms may mimic carpal tunnel<sup>2</sup>.

C7 nerve root from C6-C7: This is commonly the more frequently involved nerve root. Patient may report pain into the back of the shoulder/ scapular area region, triceps and dorsum of the forearm and long finger. There may be motor weakness of triceps, wrist flexion and finger extension. There may be impaired triceps reflex<sup>2</sup>.

C8 nerve root from C7-T1: Patient may report numbness of the medial aspect of the arm, medial border of the hand and dorsal and volar aspect of the ulnar 2 digits. There may be motor weakness of the flexor digitorum profundus in the index and long finger and flexor pollicus longus FPL of the thumb. Patient may report difficulty using the hand for ADLs<sup>2</sup>.

# **Indications for Treatment:**

- 1. Pain into the cervical spine
- 2. Paresthesias into the upper extremity
- 3. UE weakness
- 4. Limited cervical AROM
- 5. Limited function due to neck pain: concentration, sitting or driving tolerance, computer use, cervical rotations, disturbed sleep

#### **Contraindications / Precautions for Treatment:**

- 1. Vertebral artery insufficiency
- 2. Osteoporosis/ osteopenia
- 3. Hoffman's sign/CNS involvement: flexion and adduction of the thumb with the examiner flexes terminal phalanx of the long finger<sup>6</sup>
- 4. History of cancer: Red flags
- 5. Prolonged history of steroid use

#### **Evaluation:**

**Medical History**: History of major illness, surgery, accidents, allergies; Family history<sup>11</sup>.

**History of Present Illness**: Age; Describe what is bothering the patient; Was there trauma; Slow or sudden onset; Where are the symptoms; What increases or decreases the pain; How long has the problem existed; Has this happened before; Describe the type and duration of the pain; Experiencing any numbness or tingling into the arms or legs; Any changes in bowel or bladder function<sup>11</sup>.

Any imaging performed:

<u>Plain film</u>: To rule out other insidious disease process; To visualize osteophytes<sup>1</sup>; Gold standard for assessing bone- ABC's: bony Alignment, Bone quality, Cartilage and Soft tissue

MRI: To visualize soft tissue changes in disc, spinal cord, nerve root<sup>1</sup>

EMG: The hallmark diagnostic test for lumbar and cervical radiculopathy;

Moderate sensitivity and high specificity for cervical radiculopathy and peripheral nerve entrapment<sup>5</sup>

<u>Myelography</u>: To assess the effect of a space occupying lesion on the dural sac, nerve root and spinal cord<sup>1</sup>

<u>CT Scan with contrast</u>: To enhance myelography in order to detect space occupying lesion with good resolution<sup>1</sup>

<u>CT scan</u>: To enhance bony margins<sup>1</sup>

**Social History**: Work activity; Sports and leisure activity; Stress; Sleep patterns<sup>11</sup>

**Medications:** Taking any medications for this problem<sup>11</sup>; Taking any prednisone or steroids (currently or history of)- this is important to know secondary to its negative effects of mechanical properties of collagen resulting in a decrease in tensile strength

#### **Examination:**

Pain: Inquire regarding location, nature and intensity of pain

**Palpation:** Locate the tissue at fault and then palpate for tenderness to determine the extent of the injury within that tissue; Note differences in tissue tension and texture, differences in tissue thickness, abnormalities, tenderness, temperature variation, pulses, tremors and fasiculations, abnormal sensation, pathological state of tissues, moisture of the tissue<sup>11</sup>

**ROM:** Before taking any ROM, first try to assume neutral position of the joint<sup>5</sup>. Cervical flexion, extension, BL sidebend can be measured with an inclinometer<sup>5</sup>. Cervical rotation can be measured with a goniometer<sup>5</sup>. Also consider thoracic ROM, TMJ, shoulder, elbow and wrist and hand to rule out involvement<sup>11</sup>.

# **Strength:**

Scapulothoracic strength<sup>3</sup> Deep Neck Flexors strength<sup>3</sup>

**Myotomes**<sup>5</sup>:

C5: Deltoid

C6: Biceps Brachii, Extensor Carpi Radialis

C7: Triceps, Flexor Carpi Radialis

C8: Abductor Pollicis Brevis

T1: Dorsal Interossei

# Sensation: Dermatomes testing with light touch or pin prick<sup>11</sup>

C5: Deltoid area, anterior aspect of entire arm to base of thumb

C6: Anterior arm, radial side of hand to thumb and index finger

C7: Lateral arm and forearm to index, long, and ring fingers

C8: Medial arm and forearm to long, ring, and little finger

T1: Medial side of forearm to base of little finger

**Posture/alignment:** Patient may assume a posture of holding arm overhead to relieve symptoms (shoulder abduction sign); May also tilt head to contralateral side to relieve symptoms<sup>2</sup>. Observe sitting posture from frontal and sagittal view.

# **Reflexes:**

C5-C6: Biceps, Brachioradialis<sup>5</sup>

C7: Triceps<sup>5</sup>

#### **Functional Outcomes:**

Neck Pain Disability Index (NPDI): This is used to measure a patient's level of pain. Patient asked to rate best and worst pain over the past 24 hours. There is 11 point scale from 0= no pain to 10= worst pain. The average of 3 ratings is used for a patient's pain over the last 24 hours<sup>9</sup>.

Neck Disability Index (NDI): This is a 10 item questionnaire with 7 questions related to ADLs, 2 questions related to pain and 1 question related to concentration. Each question is scored from 0-5 points. The total score is a percentage, with a higher score equaling greater disability. This test has moderate test-retest reliability. The NDI has also been shown to be a valid health outcome measure for population of people with cervical radiculopathy<sup>9</sup>.

<u>Patient Specific Functional Scale (PSFS)</u>: The patient lists 3 activities that are difficult to perform secondary to their symptoms, injury or disorder. These 3 activities are rated on a scale from 0= unable to perform the activity to 10 =able to perform the activity as well as they could before experiencing the symptoms. The PSFS final score is an average of the 3 activity scores. This test has been shown to have high test-retest reliability and high validity for people with cervical radiculopathy<sup>9</sup>.

#### **Provocative Tests:**

<u>Spurling's Test Part A</u>: The patient is seated, the neck is passively side bent towards the symptomatic side and overpressure is applied to the head. Positive test= symptom reproduced<sup>5</sup>.

Shoulder Abduction Test: Patient is seated and asked to place the hand of the arm that has symptoms on their head. Positive test= symptom reduced or eliminated<sup>5</sup>.

<u>Valsalva Maneuver</u>: Patient is seated and asked to take a deep breath and hold it while attempting to exhale for 2-3 seconds. Positive test= symptoms reproduced<sup>5</sup>

Neck Distraction: Patient is supine and PT places their hands and chin and occiput. The patients head is put into a position of flexion to comfort and a distraction force is applied up to about 14 kg. Positive test= symptom reduced or eliminated<sup>5</sup>

<u>Upper Limb Tension Test Part A</u>: Patient is supine and PT passively places the patient's symptomatic upper extremity in the following order:

- 1) scapular depression
- 2) shoulder abduction
- 3) forearm supination, wrist/finger extension
- 4) shoulder external rotation
- 5) elbow extension
- 6) contralateral then ipsilateral cervical side bend.

A Positive test = symptom reproduction, side to side differences (>10 deg) in elbow extension, symptomatic limb side: contralateral neck side bending increases symptoms or ipsilateral side bending decreases symptoms<sup>5</sup>

A study performed by Wainner et.al. concluded that the following 4 positive findings could help to diagnose cervical radiculopathy with a + Likelihood ratio of 30.3: 1) cervical rotation  $< 60^{\circ}$  to symptomatic side 2) + Spurling's test 3) + ULTT Part A 4) + Cervical Distraction<sup>5</sup>.

# **Special Tests:**

# **Tests for Upper Motor Neuron Lesions (Cervical Myelopathy):**

- Romberg<sup>11</sup>
- Lhermitte's Sign: sensation of electrical shock radiating down the spine, initiated with cervical flexion<sup>6,11</sup>

## Test for vascular signs:

Vertebral Artery Test<sup>11</sup>

# Test for cervical instability:

- Sharp-Purser Test
- Transverse Ligament Stress Test<sup>11</sup>
- Alar ligament Stress test<sup>11</sup>

## **Differential Diagnosis:**

- 1. Rotator cuff pathology<sup>1</sup>
- 2. Shoulder adhesive capsulitis<sup>1</sup>
- 3. Neurological disorders to be ruled out<sup>1</sup>
  - a. Myelopathy: findings include: hyperreflexia, hypertonia, pathological reflexes<sup>6</sup>
  - b. Upper limb mononeuropathies of medial, ulnar or radial nerves
  - c. Brachial neuritis
  - d. Intracranial tumor
  - e. Axiallary Tumor
  - f. Epidural varicose veins
  - g. Glenoid cyst
  - h. Vertebral artery dissection
  - i. Osteochondroma of upper cervical spine
- 4. Pancoast syndrome<sup>6</sup>: presentation of paresthesia weakness in C8-T1 region

- 5. Thoracic outlet syndrome<sup>6</sup>: intermittent paresthesia and presentation of weakness in C8-T1 region
- 6. Carpal Tunnel Syndrome or other peripheral nerve entrapment<sup>6</sup>
- 7. Herpes Zoster<sup>6</sup>: neuropathic pain in dermatomal distribution

### **Assessment:**

Establish underlying reason for cervical pain and radicular symptoms and need for skilled physical therapy services

#### **Problem List:**

- 1) Pain into cervical spine, shoulder or upper extremity
- 2) Decreased cervical or UE ROM
- 3) Decreased strength into neck flexors, myotomes, back extensors, periscapular strength
- 4) Decreased sensation into myotomes
- 5) Decreased function
- 6) Impaired posture

## **Prognosis/ Expected Outcomes:**

An article by Cleland et.al. looked to identify whether variables from the baseline examination or physical therapy interventions received could predict clinical outcomes for people with cervical radiculopathy. In order to be considered for this study, a diagnosis of cervical radiculopathy or arm and neck pain was necessary. As a result of this study, 8 predictor variables that were likely to predict short term success were found in patients with cervical radiculopathy. They are as follows<sup>9</sup>:

From the subjective history:

- 1. Age <54 years old
- 2. Dominant arm is not affected
- 3. Looking down does not worse symptoms

From the clinical examination:

4. >30° cervical flexion

From the interventions:

- 5. Mechanical traction
- 6. Thoracic spine thrust manipulation
- 7. Not receiving soft tissue mobilization
- 8. Multimodal approach: manual therapy, cervical traction, deep neck flexor muscle strengthening

A logistic regression identified that these predictor variables would maximize the ability to identify a patient to experience successful outcomes: 1) age < 54 years old 2) dominant arm is not affected 3) looking down does not worsen symptoms 4) multimodal treatment for at least 50% of the visits including: manual therapy, cervical traction, deep neck flexor muscle strengthening. If 3 of the 4 variables are present, it was found that the physical therapist could

predict successful outcomes in 85% of subjects being treated for cervical radiculopathy. Women older than 50 years old were shown to have poor outcomes with treatment for cervical radicallopathy<sup>9</sup>.

#### Goals:

- 1) Minimal to no pain into the cervical spine, shoulder, or UE
- 2) Functional cervical and UE ROM
- 3) Functional strength into neck flexors, myotomes, back extensors and periscapular musculature
- 4) Improved sensation in dermatomes
- 5) Improved function- can be measured using NDI or PSFS
- 6) Good posture

# **Treatment Planning / Interventions**

Established Pathway	Yes, see attached.	_X_ No
Established Protocol	Yes, see attached.	_X_ No

# Interventions most commonly used for this case type/diagnosis.

Two large epidemiological studies have indicated that the majority of patients who have cervical radiculopathy will improve with conservative treatment<sup>1</sup>. These studies have also indicated that active treatment might have better outcomes than passive<sup>1</sup>. A case study by Cleland et.al. found that patients with cervical radiculopathy did have improvement in symptoms with treatment including: manual therapy, deep neck flexor and scapulothoracic strengthening exercises and intermittent traction<sup>3</sup>. The manual therapy included cervical lateral glides in upper limb tension neurodynamic position and thoracic spine manipulations in the upper and mid thoracic spine<sup>3</sup>. Cervical traction was performed with the cervical spine in 25° of flexion, with adjustments to the angle dependent on patient comfort<sup>3</sup>. The traction was given in an on/off method<sup>3</sup>.

#### **Frequency & Duration:**

2 times per week or less for 12 total visits, depending on patient presentation

A study by Murphy et.al. found that 12 visits for patients with radiculopathy were optimal<sup>12</sup>. The number of visits for patients in this study did range from 4 to 24 visits, depending on each patient's individual circumstance<sup>12</sup>. The study also did find that patients will continue to improve in their symptoms even after they are discharged from physical therapy<sup>12</sup>. The authors felt that this might be due to emphasis on cervical stability exercises, which will optimally train motor control<sup>12</sup>.

#### Patient / family education

Education on posture: standing, sitting, sitting at computer or TV Education on the nature of their symptoms, activities to avoid How to listen to the symptoms

Be aware if they experience symptoms of loss of bowel or bladder control

# Recommendations and referrals to other providers.

Ergonomics evaluation of work stations for posture

#### Re-evaluation

Standard Time Frame- 30 days or less as appropriate

Other Possible Triggers- A significant change in signs and symptoms, identify appropriate possibilities that happen in the case type

# **Discharge Planning**

## Commonly expected outcomes at discharge:

Degree of functional recovery<sup>3</sup>
Resolution of impairments and functional limitations<sup>3</sup>

Meeting stated goals<sup>3</sup>

Meaningful change in the Functional outcomes measure

A change of 2-7 points is considered a minimally clinically meaningful for NDI and for PSFS<sup>3</sup>

A change of 2 points is considered a minimally clinically meaningful for NPRS <sup>3</sup>

# Patient's discharge instructions

Continue with HEP

**Author**: Heather Renick- Miller, PT **Reviewers**: Leigh de Chaves, PT, OCS

6/2004 Reg Wilcox, PT, DPT Ethan Jerome, MSPT

**Revised**: Alyssa Weber,PT **Reviewers**: Mike Cowell, PT

10/2008 Saloni Doshi, DPT Reg Wilcox, PT, DPT

Reviewed: Phil Kidd, PT, DPT

1/2013

#### REFERENCES

- 1.Gill, LtCol H, Wainner, R. Diagnosis and Nonoperative Management of Cervical Radiculopathy. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2000; 30(12):728-744.
- 2.Rao, R. Neck Pain, Cervical Radiculopathy, and Cervical Myelopathy: Physiology, Natural History, and Clinical Evaluation. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (American)*. 2002; 84:1872-1881.
- 3.Cleland, JA, Whitman JM, Frtiz JM, Palmer JA. Manual Physical Therapy, Cervical Traction and Strengthening Exercises in Patients with Cervical Radiculopathy: A Case Series. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2005; 35(12):802-811.
- 4.Harris, KD, Heer DM, Roy TC, Santos DM, Whitman JM, Wainner RS. Reliability of a Measurement of Neck Flexor Muscle Endurance. *Physical Therapy*. 2005; 85(12): 1349-1355.
- 5. Wainner, RS, Frits JM, Irrgang JJ, Boninger ML, Delito A, Allison S. Reliability and Diagnostic Accuracy of the Clinical Examination and Patient Self-Report Measures for Cervical Radiculopathy. *Spine*. 2003; 28: 52-62.
- 6.Carette S, Fehlinhs M. Cervical Radiculopathy. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2005; 353: 392-399.
- 7. Waldrop MA. Diagnosis and Treatment of Cervical Radiculopathy Using a clinical Prediction Rule and a Multimodal Intervention Approach: A Case Series. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2006; 36(3): 252-159.
- 8. Rubinstein, SM, Pool JJM, van Tulder MW, Riphagen, de Vet HCW. A Systematic Review of the Diagnostic Accuracy of Provocative Tests for the Neck for Diagnosing Cervical Radiculopathy. *Eur Spine*. 2007; 16(3): 307-319.
- 9. Cleland JA, Frtiz JM, Whitman JM, Heath R. Predictors of Short-Term Outcome in People with a Clinical Diagnosis of Cervical Radiculopathy. *Phys Ther.* 2007; 87(12): 1619-1632.
- 10.Radhakrishnan K, Litchy WJ, O'Fallon M, Kurland LT. Epidemiology of Cervical Radiculopathy. *Brain.* 1994: 117(2); 325-335.
- 11.Magee, D. Orthopedic Physical Assessment 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Saunders. Philadelphia. 2002. Chapter 1.

